

2026 Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) Research Request for Proposals

Contents

1. RFP Overview.....	2
2. RFP Information	2
2.1. Background	2
2.2. RFP in Brief	2
2.3. Topics of Interest.....	3
2.4. Out of Scope Topics.....	3
3. Award Information and Eligibility Criteria.....	3
3.1. Eligible Institutions	3
3.2. Eligible Applicants	3
4. General Application and Submission Information	4
4.1. Overview.....	4
4.2. Formatting of Attachments	4
4.3. Letter of Intent (LOI)	5
4.4. Full Proposal	5
5. Selection Process and Award Management	8
5.1. Review and Selection Process	9
5.2. Review Criteria for Scientific Reviewers.....	9
5.3. Review Criteria for Stakeholder Reviewers.....	11
5.4. Award Management and Reporting	11
6. Questions and Additional Information	12

1. RFP Overview

Funding Opportunity Title	2026 PsA RFP: Mechanisms, Biomarkers, and Treatment of Psoriatic Arthritis
Funding Opportunity Purpose	This Request for Proposals (RFP) invites applications focused on advancing the current understanding of and treatment approach to PsA. This RFP is broadly open to proposals focused on PsA, including projects aiming to identify risk factors, biomarkers, relevant disease mechanisms, and novel treatment approaches.
Budget	Up to \$250,000 inclusive of 8% indirect costs
Period of Performance	Up to 2 years
Posted Date	March 24th, 2026
Letter of Intent Due Date	May 19th, 2026 All LOIs are due by 2:00 PM ET
Full Proposals Invited	June 9th, 2026
Full Proposal (by Invitation Only) Due Date	July 21st, 2026 All applications are due by 2:00 PM ET
Awardees Notified	October 2026
Project Earliest Start Date	January 1st, 2027

2. RFP Information

2.1. Background

In alignment with the Arthritis Foundation's mission to boldly pursue a cure for America's #1 cause of disability, the Foundation supports scientific research that will lead to improved treatments for arthritis and improved quality of life for people living with arthritis. Through this Request for Proposals (RFP), the Arthritis Foundation invites proposals that are focused on advancing science to improve our understanding and treatment of psoriatic arthritis (PsA). The ultimate goal of supporting this research is to improve the lives of people living with PsA.

2.2. RFP in Brief

This RFP invites research proposals focused on advancing our understanding and treatment of PsA, including identifying biomarkers, risk factors, underlying mechanisms, and treatment approaches. Research studies using clinical samples and/or clinical

data exclusively or in combination with relevant animal studies to more deeply uncover mechanisms are strongly encouraged.

2.3. Topics of Interest

This RFP is open to proposals focused broadly across PsA. Areas of focus for proposals may include, but are not limited to:

- Diagnostic, prognostic, and imaging biomarkers
- Risk factors for conversion of psoriasis (PsO) to PsA
- Prevention of PsO to PsA conversion in at risk populations
- Treatments for inadequately controlled PsA
- Underlying mechanisms with clinical relevance to PsA
- Impact of comorbidities on PsA disease progression and treatment
- Management of comorbidities in those with PsA

Proposals with interdisciplinary teams are highly encouraged.

2.4. Out of Scope Topics

For this RFP, we are not accepting proposals focused on the following areas:

- Projects that exclusively utilize preclinical models
- Creation of biobanks or patient cohorts without inclusion of experimental work relevant to PsA
- Arthritis not directly related to psoriatic disease

3. Award Information and Eligibility Criteria

3.1. Eligible Institutions

Eligible Institutions include:

- U.S. Public/State Controlled Institutions of Higher Education
- U.S. Private Institutions of Higher Education
- Nonprofits with 501(c)(3) IRS Status (Other than Institutions of Higher Education)

Non-domestic (non-U.S.) Entities (Foreign Institutions) and Non-domestic (non-U.S.) components of U.S. Organizations are not eligible to apply.

3.2. Eligible Applicants

Eligibility Criteria for Applicants:

- All proposed research projects must be led by a Principal Investigator (PI) who holds a doctorate (e.g., Ph.D., M.D., or equivalent degree).

- PIs must hold a full-time faculty/staff appointment up to and including the rank of full Professor (or equivalent).
- Applicants holding non-tenure track, full-time, independent faculty-level positions with titles such as Instructor, Assistant Research Professor, or similar are eligible to apply.
- If previously funded by the Arthritis Foundation, the applicant must be up to date on all reporting requirements.
- Multiple applications will be accepted from a single institution, provided that each application represents a distinct hypothesis.

4. General Application and Submission Information

4.1. Overview

The submission process includes, first, a Letter of Intent (LOI) and, second, a full proposal (by invitation only). All LOIs and full proposals (collectively, applications) should be submitted using Proposal Central, the Arthritis Foundation's online grants management portal available at <https://proposalcentral.com/>. Some information submitted in support of an application will be typed directly into a webform on Proposal Central and some will be submitted as a PDF uploaded to Proposal Central (known as an "attachment" in Proposal Central). Technical support regarding the Proposal Central platform is available via email to pcsupport@altum.com.

Any application that is submitted after the deadline, incomplete, or fails to adhere to instructions will be administratively rejected.

4.2. Formatting of Attachments

Format requirements apply to all attachments submitted in support of either the LOI or the full proposal.

- Margins should be greater than or equal to 1/2" on all sides
- Font size should be no less than 11 points
- Applications must be written in English
- Applications must be formatted such that, if printed, would print to 8.5" x 11" paper
- PDF file formats are preferred
- Editing permissions must not be restricted and files must not be password protected.

The page limits do not include the additional biosketches, references, letters of support, and table of contents that will also be a part of all proposals.

4.3. Letter of Intent (LOI)

The LOI, 2 pages maximum in length, should:

1. Describe the fit of the proposed project to the overarching goals of this program,
2. Include a hypothesis and specific aims of the project,
3. Summarize at a high level the impact you expect from the outcome of the proposed work, and
4. Briefly describe the roles of the individual team members

LOIs will be evaluated for administrative compliance, relevance to PsA, and scientific merit. Top LOIs will be invited to submit full proposals.

Page limit does not include references. See additional formatting requirements above.

4.4. Full Proposal

Below is an overview of the sections in the Proposal Central webform and the information that should be entered into or attached in each section.

1. **Title page:** Enter project title.
2. **Download Templates & Instructions:** Download RFP
3. **Enable Other Users to Access this Proposal:**
 - a. Allow others (e.g., institutional administrators or collaborators) to view, edit, or submit your proposal.
 - b. Electronic signatures are required to submit the application. The Signing Official from the applicant's institution must be provided at least 'Edit' access on this screen to be able to sign. Please review the Signature Page (see below in bullet 16 below) to confirm the signature roles required and add as appropriate on this page. Please make sure to grant access ahead of time to your institution's signing official to avoid any last-minute issues with signing and submitting your application.
4. **Applicant/PI:** Key information about the PI.
5. **Institution & Contacts:** Key information about the PI's institution, including name and email address of the financial officer and the signing official who, in addition to the PI, will be contacted if the award is selected for funding. If your institution has an ROR (Research Organization Registry) ID, please include.
6. **Other Key Personnel:** List and provide contact information for key persons.

- a. Include everyone except the applicant who will contribute to the scientific development or execution of the project in a substantive, measurable way whether they receive salaries or compensation under the grant.
 - b. Patient advocate (optional):
 - i. When relevant to the proposed project, the Arthritis Foundation encourages study teams to include patient perspectives and/or patient advocate(s) who are meaningfully engaged in the research project.
 - ii. We define meaningful engagement as active and collaborative interaction between patient(s) and researchers across all stages of the research process from conception to results distribution, where research decision making is guided by patients' contributions as partners, recognizing their specific experiences, values, and expertise.
 - iii. Study teams are encouraged to compensate patient partners per National Health Council guidelines (see "Principles for Compensation" linked via: <https://nationalhealthcouncil.org/additional-resources/patient-compensation-tools/>).
7. **Abstracts:** Provide a General Audience Summary (non-technical, patient-friendly) and a Technical Summary (maximum of 2,000 characters each). Please note: the General Audience Summary will become public if the award is selected for funding, therefore, it should not contain any proprietary information.
8. **Budget Period Detail:** Enter budget detail for each 12-month award period requested.
 - a. An amount up to 8% of the total direct costs may be allocated to indirect costs (F&A).
 - b. Fringe benefits for personnel salaries are allowable.
 - c. Include any costs associated with sharing resource outputs.
9. **Budget Summary & Justification:** A summary of the budget detail will be shown in this step. In addition, provide a detailed explanation of why the requested budget is appropriate.
10. **Other Support:**
 - a. Please list all current and pending support for the Applicant and Co-Investigators.
 - b. Any overlap of current or pending support with the Arthritis Foundation proposal must be described and explained.
 - c. To add your entries, please click on the "+" link and all entries previously saved in your Professional Profile will show. Please select the applicable support and save. For other Key Personnel, if they have granted you at least 'View' access to their profile, you can select Other Support from their profile as well. If they have not provided you 'View' access, upload a list of their

current and pending support and any overlap in a separate document in "Proposal & Supporting Documents" step below (please see bullet 14.c below) of the application.

- d. Current and pending support can be added to your (and other Key Personnel's) Professional Profile on Proposal Central by clicking on the 'Professional Profile' tab and going to Other Support.

11. Data & Resource Sharing Plan: While not strictly required, data and resource sharing are an expected outcome from grants funded by the Arthritis Foundation. Provide the requested information as to how the data and other resources generated as part of the research project will be shared.

12. Organization Assurances: IRB and IACUC approvals, if applicable.

13. PI Demographics: Please enter your ORCID ID and other requested demographic information.

- a. If you do not have an ORCID ID, you can register for one here:
<https://orcid.org/register>.
- b. The Arthritis Foundation aims to fund research representing the whole arthritis research ecosystem and therefore encourages applications from all eligible researchers in order to maximize progress towards realizing our research goals.
- c. Please note that requested demographic information will NOT be shared with reviewers and will NOT be used in any way during the application selection process. The Arthritis Foundation collects such data to track applicant and awardee demographics over time to gain a better understanding of the make-up of its applicant and awardee pools and, when necessary, update its' strategies to connect with the broadest possible research community.

14. Proposal & Supporting Documents: Upload the following:

- a. *Research Proposal:*
 - i. 8 pages single space maximum
 - ii. Applicants are encouraged to use the suggested layout below
 - 1. *Specific Aims Page:* A page that highlights the significance, hypothesis, objective, approach, expected results, and impact of the proposed project. NIH style is encouraged.
 - 2. *Scientific Background and Research Plan:* This section summarizes the overall importance of the proposed work, including the problem statement, hypothesis and any preliminary results, plus a Proposed Approach and Specific Methodology, including a Statistical Plan, a detailed study timeline, Alternative Hypotheses & Pitfalls, Patient Impact &

Significance to the Arthritis Foundation's Mission, and Rationale and Fit with RFP Purpose & Objectives.

3. *Description of Key Personnel, Facilities, and Resources:* Proposed PI, and proposed team governance structure, including, if applicable, how patients, parents, caregivers, or other advocate(s) will be incorporated into the research team. Description of facilities and resources that will enable project completion.
 4. *Additional Information:* Any additional information relevant to the Review Criteria listed below. Such as protections for human subjects or inclusion of women, minorities, and individuals across the lifespan as applicable.
 - b. *NIH Biosketches:* For Applicant/PI and relevant Key Personnel (Co-Investigator, and Collaborator roles only).
 - c. *Current and Pending Support:* For the Applicant and any Co-Investigators only. Upload here ONLY when this information cannot be entered in the Other Support section of the application.
 - d. *Letter from appropriate institutional representative* (e.g., Division Chief, Institutional Finance Official, etc.) that documents that the budgeted percent effort is available, confirms Applicant's salary and acknowledges that the Foundation's indirect rate is acceptable.
 - e. *Letters of Support or Collaboration:* Optional
15. **Validate:** Check for any missing information.
16. **E-Signature:**
- a. Before submitting the application, an electronic signature is required from both the Applicant/PI and a Signing Official from the applicant's institution.
 - b. Type your name in the text box and click the green 'Sign' button. A date and time stamp will appear next to the button indicating that the electronic signature was successful.
 - c. To give the Signing official access to sign this application, enter their information in "Enable other users to access this proposal" (please see bullet 3 above) and grant them at least "Edit" access.
17. **Submit:**
- a. Please plan to start and submit your application early as no proposals will be accepted after the deadline.
 - b. Technical support for the on-line application system is not available after 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time or on weekends.

5. Selection Process and Award Management

5.1. Review and Selection Process

Applications will be evaluated for scientific merit by an expert Review Committee that includes both scientific and stakeholder reviewers, convened by the Arthritis Foundation, and using the review criteria below. As part of peer review, all full proposals will receive a written critique in Proposal Central.

The following factors will be considered in making final funding decisions:

- Scientific and technical merit of the proposed project determined by scientific peer review.
- Patient impact and relevance of the project to the Arthritis Foundation's mission as determined by stakeholder review.
- Alignment of the proposed project to the Arthritis Foundation's strategic priorities.
- Availability of funds.

A formal email notification will be provided to the applicant's organization for successful applications. An award notification is not an authorization to begin performance. Any costs incurred before contract signature are at the applicant's risk.

5.2. Review Criteria for Scientific Reviewers

Overall Impact

Scientific Reviewers will provide an overall impact score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the project to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the understanding and treatment of PsA in consideration of the review criteria below.

Scored Review Criteria

Reviewers will consider each of the review criteria below in the determination of the scientific merit and strategic importance of each proposal. An application does not need to be strong in all categories to be judged likely to have major scientific impact. For example, a project that by its nature is not original may be essential to advance the field. The list of evaluation factors is presented below.

- *Significance*
Does the proposal address an important problem or a critical barrier to understanding and treating PsA? How will successful completion of the project's aims increase our understanding of PsA, advance treatment approaches in PSA, and/or improve the lives of people with PsA?
- *Originality*

Does the proposed research project challenge and seek to shift current paradigms by utilizing novel concepts, approaches, or methodologies? Does the design/research plan include original elements, as appropriate, that enhance its potential to advance scientific knowledge or clinical practice?

- *Approach*

Are the overall proposed strategy and methodology well-reasoned and appropriate to accomplish the specific aims of the project? Have the investigators presented strategies to ensure a robust and unbiased approach, as appropriate for the work proposed? Is a robust analytical plan included? Are potential problems, alternative strategies, and benchmarks for success presented?

- *Study Timeline*

Is the projected timeline feasible and well justified? Does the project incorporate efficiencies and utilize existing resources (e.g., CTSA, practice-based research networks, electronic medical records, administrative databases, or patient registries) to increase the efficiency of participant enrollment and/or data collection, as appropriate?

- *Principal Investigator(s), Scientific Team, and Environment*

Do PI(s), collaborators, and other researchers have appropriate experience and expertise to carry out the project? Does the research team have complementary and integrated expertise; is their leadership approach, governance, and organizational structure appropriate for the project? Are the institutional support, equipment, and other physical resources available to the investigators adequate for the project proposed? If a clinical trial is proposed: Are the administrative, coordinating, enrollment, and laboratory/testing centers appropriate?

- *Protections for Human Subjects*

For research that involves human subjects but does not involve one of the categories of research that are exempt under 45CFR 46, the committee will evaluate the justification for involvement of human subjects and the proposed protections from research risk relating to their participation according to the following five review criteria: 1) risk to subjects, 2) adequacy of protection against risks, 3) potential benefits to the subjects and others, 4) importance of the knowledge to be gained, and 5) data and safety monitoring for clinical trials.

- *Inclusion of Women, Minorities, and Individuals Across the Lifespan*

When the proposed project involves human subjects and/or clinical research, the committee will evaluate the proposed plans for the inclusion (or exclusion) of individuals based on sex/gender, race, and ethnicity, as well as the inclusion (or exclusion) of individuals of all ages to determine if it is justified in terms of the scientific goals and research strategy proposed.

- *Budget and Period of Support*

Reviewers will consider whether the budget, staffing plan and the requested period of support are fully justified and reasonable in relation to the proposed statement of work. Indirect costs (F&A) must be 8% or less of the total direct costs as per Arthritis Foundation policy.

5.3. Review Criteria for Stakeholder Reviewers

Stakeholder Reviewers will evaluate each of the following:

1. **Patient Impact:**

- a. How will this proposal address the overall health and wellbeing of PsA patients?
- b. Is this proposal focused on a topic that feels meaningful to the PsA community?

2. **Relevance to the Arthritis Foundation's mission:** How does the significance of the proposed research advance the mission of the Arthritis Foundation?

Mission: The Arthritis Foundation is boldly pursuing a cure for America's #1 cause of disability while championing the fight to conquer arthritis with life-changing science, resources, advocacy and community connections.

3. **Use of Human Subjects:**

- a. If this is a study that involves human subjects, how likely is it that arthritis patients would be interested in participating?
- b. Are there any possible barriers that PsA patients may encounter when participating or trying to participate in this proposal?

5.4. Award Management and Reporting

Awardees will be required to submit Progress Reports every six months and Accounting Reports every year via Proposal Central in addition to Final Progress and Accounting Reports once the project is complete. Individual awards are based on the application submitted to, and as approved by, the Arthritis Foundation and are subject to the specific terms and conditions identified in the signed Award Agreement.

6. Questions and Additional Information

Please see our [Frequently Asked Questions resource](#).

We encourage inquiries concerning this funding opportunity and welcome the opportunity to answer questions from potential applicants.

For all inquiries including general grants information and questions regarding application instructions, application, and processes, please email:
alampe@arthritis.org