April 3, 2017

Francis J. Crosson, M.D.
Chairman
Medicare Payment Advisory Commission
425 I Street NW
Suite 701
Washington, DC  20001

Dear Dr. Crosson:

The Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MedPAC) is currently considering recommendations to change the way that Medicare pays for Part B medicines. We write to express our concern that the changes under consideration could make it more challenging for physicians to offer medicines typically administered in their offices and affect seniors’ access to quality care. We stand with physicians, patients and health care stakeholders, and urge the Commission to oppose policies that would compromise access to care under Medicare Part B.

Medicare Part B medicines are critical for patients living with serious conditions, such as cancer, macular degeneration, hypertension, rheumatoid arthritis, mental illness, Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, and primary immunodeficiency diseases. These patients are some of the sickest and most vulnerable patients in Medicare. They must often try multiple prescription drugs and/or biologics before finding the appropriate treatment for their complex condition. These patients need immediate access to the right medication, which is already challenged by the fact that treatment decisions may change on a frequent basis. Patients and the providers who care for them already face significant complexities in their care and treatment options, and must be safeguarded from unnecessary and disruptive changes.

During the presentation at the March Public Meeting, MedPAC indicated that its draft recommendations would “decrease program spending” and are “not expected to affect beneficiaries’ access to needed medicines.” We respectfully disagree. Reducing Part B payments for providers, whether through reductions in the Part B payment rate or another mechanism, will make it more difficult for providers, particularly small practices and those in rural settings, to purchase certain drugs at the payment rate. In cancer care in particular, where Part B medicines are an essential component of treatment for many patients, care is increasingly shifting to hospital outpatient departments where it is more costly for beneficiaries and for the Medicare program.¹ The recommendations MedPAC is proposing could accelerate the problem in ways that make care more difficult to obtain, potentially forcing changes to treatment plans that are working well for patients.

Currently, Medicare Part B offers beneficiaries broad access to infused therapies, allowing patients and their doctors to decide which treatments are best. The MedPAC proposals would come between patients and their doctors by limiting a physician’s ability to offer certain therapies. Of note, we are concerned that:

• Some providers, particularly those in small or rural practices would be unable to provide certain medicines if reimbursements are reduced or blended through consolidated billing codes. The assumption that the ASP add on encourages the use of more expensive products fails to take into account the many factors that impact providers’ decisions such as individual patient characteristics, and the complex needs of Medicare beneficiaries.

• Patient safety could be harmed if proposals to blend coding and reimbursement for biologics or therapeutically similar treatments go forward because it will be more difficult to track and attribute adverse events. Further, CMS should not be put in a position to determine or decide what is “therapeutically similar.” That complicated and extremely individual decision should be left in the hands of providers and patients.

• Proposals to blend coding and reimbursement for Part B medicines or establish arbitrary reimbursement caps through an inflation limit could stifle innovation in the next generation of Part B treatments, including biosimilars, which are expected to generate savings for beneficiaries and the Medicare program.

• Finally, the MedPAC proposal to create a new “Drug Value Program” leaves a number of critical questions unanswered, and as proposed could harm patient access by imposing new restrictions on Part B therapies.

It is imperative that recommendations put forward by the Commission preserve access to care for patients. However, many of the options that MedPAC is currently discussing would make drastic changes in the name of cutting costs while giving little consideration to the effects on patients. As MedPAC works towards a vote on these recommendations, we encourage Commissioners to place patient needs and access to quality care at the heart of their recommendations and reconsider the proposed Medicare Part B changes.

Sincerely,
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